EXHIBIT 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WINDSOR SECURITIES, LLC

Civil Action No. 16-ev-01533 (GBD)

Plaintiff

·

:

ARENT FOX, LLP

v.

and

JULIUS ROUSSEAU, III, ESQUIRE

Defendants

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND TANGIBLE THINGS

Plaintiff Windsor Securities, LLC, by and through its undersigned counsel, Alan L. Frank Law Associates, P.C., hereby respond to the First Set of Request For Production of Documents and Tangible Things of Defendants Arent Fox LLP ("Arent Fox") and Julius Rousseau, III ("Rousseau", and, collectively, with Arent Fox, "Defendants"), as follows:

All responses provided herein are made without waiving and, on the contrary, with preserving:

- 1. All questions as to competency, relevancy, materiality, privilege and admissibility of evidence, for any purpose, in any subsequent proceeding or the trial of this or any other actions;
- 2. The right to object on any ground, at any time, to a demand for further response to this or any other request for production or discovery procedures involving or relating to the subject matter of this Request for Production;
- 3. The right to further supplement and/or amend these responses based upon the discovery of additional information or documents;
- 4. The right to object to the use of any documents or evidence by Defendants or any other party in any subsequent proceedings, or the trial of this or any other action, on any ground;

- 5. The attorney-client, work-product and expert privileges and, accordingly, any subsequent response or production should not constitute a waiver of said privilege(s);
- 6. Plaintiffs also incorporate herein by reference all pleadings and responses to discovery filed and served by it in this action.

I. <u>GENERAL OBJECTIONS</u>:

- 1. Plaintiffs object to each of Defendant's Discovery Requests to the extent it seeks:
 - a. Attorney Work Product;
 - b. Privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege;
 - c. Trade secrets and other confidential and/or proprietary information;
 - d. Documents and tangible things prepared in anticipation of litigation by Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' attorneys, agents, or representatives; or
 - e. Information or documents otherwise not discoverable under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Plaintiffs object to each of Defendants' discovery requests to the extent that the information sought is not relevant to the subject matter involved in this litigation nor reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence.
- 3. Plaintiffs object to each discovery request to the extent that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, intentionally harassing and oppressive and seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants.
- 4. Plaintiffs object generally to each discovery request to the extent that it is brought in bad faith with the intent to cause unreasonable annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, burden and expense to Plaintiffs.

II. RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT:

Plaintiffs are providing these responses and objections to Defendants' discovery requests.

These responses and objections are made while discovery is ongoing. Plaintiff specifically reserves the right to supplement its responses and objections from time to time as material additions and/or changes occur.

III. SPECIFIC RESPONSES TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND TANGIBLE THINGS

1. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning the Bitter Trust, the Collins Trust, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Trust, or the Stamatov Trust.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning the Bitter Trust, the Collins Trust, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Trust, or the Stamatov Trust in Plaintiff's possession are on the disk produced herewith.

2. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Policy, or the Stamatov Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer,

all non-privileged documents or communications concerning the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Policy, or the Stamatov Policy in Plaintiff's possession are on the disk produced herewith.

3. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning Your financing of the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Policy, or the Stamatov Policy, as described in the Complaint.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning Plaintiff's financing of the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Policy, or the Stamatov Policy in Plaintiff's possession are on the disk produced herewith.

4. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning the Herrick Firm's representation of You with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, or the Stamatov Trust.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning the Herrick Firm's representation of Plaintiff with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy,

or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

5. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning Defendants' representation of You with regard to Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, the Stamatov Trust, the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning the Defendants' representation of Plaintiff with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

6. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning Thompson Welch's representation of You with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, the Stamatov Trust, the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning Thompson Welch's representation of Plaintiff with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy,

or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

7. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning any other attorney's representation of You with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, the Stamatov Trust, the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, the Stamatov Action, or any other of Your financing of life insurance policies.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning any other attorney's representation of Plaintiff with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

8. All documents or communications, including with third parties, concerning Hennefer Finley's representation of any trust or trustee with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust, the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, the Stamatov Trust, the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine, and seeks information which in the possession of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents or communications concerning Hennefer Finley's representation of Plaintiff with regard to the Bitter Policy, the Bitter Trust, the Collins Policy, the Collins Trust,

the Acker Policy, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Stamatov Policy, or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

9. All communications between You and the trustee(s) for the Bitter Trust, the Collins Trust, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Trust, or the Stamatov Trust.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and the trustee(s) for the Bitter Trust, the Collins Trust, the Acker Trust, the Coppock Trust, or the Stamatov Trust are on the disk produced herewith.

10. All communications between You and E.E.H. Consulting concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust, or the Stamatov Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and EEH Consulting concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust or the Stamatov Policy are on the disk produced herewith.

11. All communications between You and Houchins, III concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust, or the Stamatov Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly

broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and Houchins, III concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust or the Stamatov Policy are on the disk produced herewith.

12. All communications between You and Houchins, Jr. concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust, or the Stamatov Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and Houchins, Jr. concerning the Bitter Trust, the Bitter Policy, the Collins Trust, the Collins Policy, the Acker Trust, the Acker Policy, the Coppock Trust, the Coppock Policy, the Stamatov Trust or the Stamatov Policy are on the disk produced herewith.

13. All communications between You and the Pacific Life Insurance Company concerning the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, or the Coppock Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and the Pacific Life Insurance Company concerning the Bitter Policy, the Collins Policy, or the Coppock Policy are on the disk produced

herewith.

14. All communications between You and the John Hancock Insurance Company concerning the Acker Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and the John Hancock Insurance Company concerning the Acker Policy are on the disk produced herewith.

15. All communications between You and the PHL Variable Insurance Company concerning the Stamatov Policy.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all communications between Plaintiff and the PHL Variable Insurance Company concerning the Stamatov Policy are on the disk produced herewith.

16. All documents, including but not limited to pleadings, motion papers, communications, and court decisions, concerning the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiffs object to this request to the extent that it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive in that it seeks documents that are publicly available, seeks information which is within the knowledge, control and/or custody of the Defendants, and seeks information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine. Without waiving these objections, and by way of further answer, all non-privileged documents and/or documents that are not publicly available

concerning the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action are on the disk produced herewith.

17. Sufficient documents or communications to demonstrate any settlements or other resolutions (including arbitration or court decisions) of the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action.

ANSWER: Objection Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, and it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine. Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff has produced the settlement Agreements in the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action on the disk produced herewith.

18. Sufficient documents or communications to establish Your alleged damages related to Defendants' purported legal malpractice.

ANSWER: Objection. Plaintiff objects to this request to the extent it is overly broad, intentionally harassing and oppressive, and it seeks privileged information, including but not limited to, information or documents which are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work-product doctrine. Without waiving these objections, Plaintiff has produced the settlement Agreements in the Bitter Action, the Bitter Arbitration, the Acker Action, the Collins Action, the Coppock Action, or the Stamatov Action and documents evidencing attorneys fees and costs associated with those actions on the disk produced herewith.

19. Any other documents or communications that You intend to use at trial.

ANSWER: See disk produced herewith. Plaintiff specifically reserves the right to supplement its response to this request.

ALAN L. FRANK LAW ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Alan L. Frank, Esquire Samantha A. Millrood, Esquire (Admitted *pro hac vice*)

1601 Gravesend Neck Rd., Suite 903 Brooklyn, NY 11229 T: 215.935.1000/F: 215.935.1110

E-mail: afrank@alflaw.net

And

Dated: July 11, 2016

135 Old York Road Jenkintown, PA 19046

T: 215.935.1000/F: 215.935.1110

E-mail: <u>afrank@alflaw.net</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff Windsor Securities, LLC

11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WINDSOR SECURITIES, LLC

Civil Action No. 16-ev-01533 (GBD)

Plaintiff

Ţ

v.

:

ARENT FOX, LLP

and

JULIUS ROUSSEAU, III, ESQUIRE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Defendants

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 11th day of July, 2016, a copy of Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants First Set of Request for Production of Documents and Tangible Things was sent via UPS Overnight Mail and Email to the following:

Peter N. Wang, Esquire
Douglas S. Heffer, Esquire
Adam Gregory Pence, Esquire
Foley & Lardner LLP
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York, 10016
pwang@foley.com
dheffer@foley.com
apence@foley.com

ALAN L, FRANK LAW ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Alan L. Frank, Esquire Samantha A. Millrood, Esquire

(Admitted pro hac vice)

1601 Gravesend Neck Rd., Suite 903

Brooklyn, NY 11229

T: 215.935.1000/F: 215.935.1110

E-mail: <u>afrank@alflaw.net</u>

And

135 Old York Road Jenkintown, PA 19046

T: 215.935.1000/F: 215.935.1110

E-mail: <u>afrank@alflaw.net</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff Windsor Securities, LLC

Dated: July 11, 2016